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This is the third year that Transport Focus has been responsible for the National Road Users’ Satisfaction Survey (NRUSS), which it inherited from Highways England in 2016.

NRUSS measures satisfaction among around 2000 users of the motorways and major ‘A’ roads managed by Highways England based on their most recent journey. To maintain comparability with previous years, Transport Focus has kept NRUSS methodology unchanged.

The level of overall satisfaction calculated by NRUSS is used by the Office of Rail and Road to monitor Highways England’s delivery as part of the performance specification set for Highways England by Government.

NRUSS user satisfaction 2018-2019 was 88.4 per cent, the lowest it has been over the past five years and below the target of 90 per cent set by Government.

Highways England must seek improvements to user satisfaction across the roads it manages, although Transport Focus recognises this task must be achieved against a backdrop of rising usage of these roads and resulting congestion.

Transport Focus has developed a new Strategic Roads User Survey (SRUS), now running for over a year, which is set to replace NRUSS as the formal measure of satisfaction for Road Period 2 (April 2020 to March 2025).

After two years of parallel running between SRUS and NRUSS, the latter will be discontinued in March 2020. SRUS has improved methodology and seeks the views of over four times more road users. It provides greater insight for Highways England to understand where satisfaction is weaker and target its efforts to drive up user satisfaction.

Anthony Smith
Chief Executive
Key findings

Introduction

The National Road Users’ Satisfaction Survey (NRUSS) measures users’ satisfaction with journeys on the motorways and major ‘A’ roads managed by Highways England. The NRUSS customer satisfaction result is a Key Performance Indicator for Highways England. The target is 90 per cent very or fairly satisfied. The NRUSS overall satisfaction score is derived from five core areas of user experience: journey time; safety; information/signage; roadworks management; and general upkeep of the road. Around 2000 users are interviewed in their homes in randomly selected locations across England so that each of the seven Highways England regions is covered equally. More detail on the methodology is provided in the appendix.

Overall satisfaction

In 2018-19 the overall satisfaction score was 88.4 per cent, the lowest score in the last five years and 0.3 of a percentage point lower than last year. Motorway scores increased from the previous year’s score by 0.3 of a percentage point to 87.8 per cent, largely due to an increase in roadworks scores. However, for the major ‘A’ roads the scores decreased by 1.0 percentage point on last year, down to 89.1 per cent, with the drop caused by lower ratings on roadworks, maintenance and general upkeep.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Motorways and major ‘A’ roads combined</th>
<th>Motorways</th>
<th>Major ‘A’ roads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-19</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall satisfaction by region

The highest overall satisfaction was in Yorkshire and the North East at 91 per cent, an increase from 88 per cent in 2017-18. Satisfaction in the North West remains the lowest at 84 per cent, however its score has increased from 78 per cent in the previous year, coinciding with the completion of a set of smart motorway construction roadworks in the region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yorks and North East</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlands</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M25</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Journey time

Satisfaction of 88 per cent was achieved for journey time for the second consecutive year, with the score having remained similar since 2012. Major ‘A’ road scores remained at 90 per cent for the fourth consecutive year, while motorway scores dropped to 85 per cent. Key reasons for dissatisfaction with journey times were delays being a high proportion of journey time and delay due to accidents.
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Roadworks

Roadworks achieved a satisfaction score of 65 per cent, up from 63 per cent in 2017-18. Motorway scores rebounded to 62 per cent, but is still lower than the score in 2015-16; the score for major ‘A’ roads fell to 72 per cent from 75 per cent last year. Reasons for dissatisfaction were perceived lack of work going on within roadworks and the impact of roadworks on users.

Signage

Signage achieved a satisfaction score of 93 per cent, the same as 2017-18 and the joint highest score achieved so far. Satisfaction on motorways remained level at 92 per cent, while major ‘A’ roads stayed at 93 per cent. One of the main reasons for dissatisfaction was when signs were considered ‘poor’.
Upkeep

In 2018-19, satisfaction with upkeep was 87 per cent, a fall from 89 per cent in 2017-18 and its lowest ever overall score. Scores for both motorways and major ‘A’ roads fell to their lowest ever levels, with motorways dropping to 90 per cent and major ‘A’ roads down to 84 per cent. Poor road surface was the principal reason for dissatisfaction.

Safety

91 per cent of users reported feeling safe in 2018-19. This was up from 90 per cent in 2017-18, but is still lower than 2014-15 to 2016-17. There was an increase amongst motorway users to 91 per cent, but there was a decrease amongst major ‘A’ road users to 91 per cent.

Journey time (% satisfied)
# Journey times

## Levels of satisfaction with journey time and trends

Overall satisfaction with journey time in 2017-18 was **88 per cent**. Looking at the last five years, satisfaction with journey time on both types of road has been largely consistent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major ‘A’ road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Users of motorways in Yorkshire and the North East and the East regions were most satisfied with journey time while users in the Midlands were the least satisfied.

For journey times on major ‘A’ roads, users in the North West, Midlands and East regions were the most satisfied with those in Yorkshire and the North East the least satisfied.

## Motorways (satisfied %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yorks &amp; N East</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North West</strong></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Midlands</strong></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M25</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>East</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South East</strong></td>
<td>89</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South West</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Major ‘A’ roads (satisfied %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yorks &amp; N East</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North West</strong></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Midlands</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M25</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>East</strong></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South East</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South West</strong></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 25 per cent of journeys users experienced delays. This has reduced from a peak of 30 per cent three years ago.

The main reasons given for delay were congestion (66 per cent) and roadworks (37 per cent), with reported delays of just over a quarter of an hour.

### Experienced a delay when driving on motorways or major 'A' roads (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reasons for delay (%)

- **Congestion**: 30
- **Roadworks**: 28
- **Accidents**: 25
- **Breakdown – other vehicle**: 28
- **Bad weather**: 26
- **Slow vehicle**: 28
- **Road closure**: 25
- **Diversion**: 28
- **Other delay**: 25

Note: more than one answer permitted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minutes delayed*</th>
<th>Congestion</th>
<th>Roadworks</th>
<th>Accidents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values shown are the median minutes delayed

Around one in seven respondents checked travel conditions before setting off. Two in five checked during their journey, while one in seven allowed extra time in case of delays.

### Checked travel conditions

- **Checked travel conditions pre travel**: 14
- **Allowed extra time in case of delays**: 14
- **Checked travel conditions during journey**: 39
- **Alerted to possible delays**: 16

78 per cent did not plan their route before setting off. Users were more likely to plan routes in advance where the trip purpose was going on holiday (40 per cent), travelling on business (38 per cent) or travelling to or from education (35 per cent).
Analysis of satisfaction with journey time

Statistical analysis of how road users rate satisfaction with journey time in comparison with how they answer other questions shows the factors with greatest influence on their satisfaction.

Factors with greatest influence – motorways
Positive factors were experiencing a clear run with no incidents and making a trip at a weekend. Delays on motorways; being delayed by more than a third of the expected journey time; and delays due to accidents on motorways were all factors with the greatest negative effect on satisfaction with journey time.

Factors with greatest influence – major ‘A’ roads
Delays on major ‘A’ roads was the highest negative factor while the highest positive factor was having a clear run with no incidents.
Roadworks
Roadworks were frequently noted as the reason for the delays experienced by a number of respondents and this caused some dissatisfaction. Poor management of roadworks and a perception that no one was working contributed to dissatisfaction.

“This took longer than necessary because of the 9 miles of roadworks around Swindon, nobody is ever working on them.” (Leisure, motorway)

“Speed restrictions in place, not much work going on.” (Commuting, motorway)

“Roadworks on the M4, there are at least 3 sections, there are tree surgeons on either side of the road and there are width restrictions bringing it down 3 lanes and they are narrow and are literally just the width of a lorry so you can’t get past. It slows everything up, but I don’t see anyone working very often.” (Business, motorway)

“It was a very long journey, we just seemed to get through one lot of roadworks and then another lot of roadworks sprung up.” (Leisure, motorway)

Accidents and unexplained incidents
Many respondents were frustrated by not knowing what was going on up ahead and what was causing the delay. This not knowing contributed to high levels of dissatisfaction amongst respondents.

“Literally you have to be stressed for the whole journey debating whether you will get delayed or make your meeting, you just don’t know through the sheer volume of traffic.” (Business, motorways)

“Did you know what was going on at this time?” (Business, motorways)

“I don’t know what the hell was going on there. It was a very short journey, we only got delayed because there was no signage there.” (Leisure, motorways)

“ Took so long and for no apparent reason.” (Business, motorways)

“Roadworks on the M4, there are at least 3 sections, there are tree surgeons on either side of the road and there are width restrictions bringing it down 3 lanes and they are narrow and are literally just the width of a lorry so you can’t get past. It slows everything up, but I don’t see anyone working very often.” (Business, motorway)

“It was all the hanging about and not knowing, it said long delays but I’ve had that on there before and it was only 15 minutes which I was happy to accept but didn’t expect nearly 2 hours. If they would have said over an hour’s delay earlier I would have come off the M42 onto the M6 toll then back on the M6 further up!” (Leisure, motorways)

“There were so many times we seemed to stop and queue for no apparent reason, there was no accident or breakdown.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

Congestion
General congestion and the volume of traffic led to high levels of dissatisfaction with journey time for many respondents as they noted that this led to their journey time being extended.

“This is a problem that’s never been addressed, the congestion always between Bristol and Weston Super Mare and it’s volume of traffic.” (Leisure, motorway)

“It should only take 15 minutes, it takes longer because of the amount of traffic not necessarily incidents or if accidents occur it can take longer, it’s ridiculous and frustrating.” (Other, motorway)

“It took me 10 minutes to do a mile, the traffic was dreadful.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

“The queuing was endless, the journey was very slow.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
Are delays expected?

An important dimension is the extent to which a delay is expected.

When a delay is no worse than expected
Some users were still satisfied with a delayed journey when it was no worse than expected. Road users expect delays on the motorways and major ‘A’ roads if travelling at certain times or on certain routes, generally based on previous experience.

- “The traffic moved slower in parts but didn’t cause any noticeable delays.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “No hold ups and I was surprised at how good it was.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “A few delays on the M25 area but they were expected.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “It was OK as they go, just a normal amount of traffic.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

When a delay is expected
Commuters or those travelling on business had lower expectations of journey time from familiarity with routes and typical levels of congestion. Over a quarter (26 per cent) of users travelling to work or on business typically allowed an additional 30 minutes for their journey.

- “It’s just a normal busy journey.” (Commuting, ‘A’ road)
- “Very congested but I expected it.” (Business, ‘A’ road)
- “Always delays, you expect it.” (Business, motorway)
- “It was what I expected.” (Commuting, motorway)

Avoided delay
Many respondents chose to travel at a time that they perceived to be quieter, therefore reducing the risk of being delayed. This contributed to higher levels of satisfaction amongst these respondents.

- “I travel so early in the morning, so it’s a clear run and quiet at this time of the morning. If I left later, congestion would be horrendous, especially on a Friday.” (Commuting, motorway)
- “Not much traffic that early in the morning.” (Personal business, motorway)
- “Got there early to avoid the traffic and got back again, smooth trip.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

When the delay is unexpected or worse than expected
If a delay was unexpected then dissatisfaction levels were extremely high among respondents as this had a big impact on their journey time.

- “It took so much longer than usual.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “It took almost 3 times as long as I expected it to.” (Other, motorways)

Speed restrictions
Speed restrictions due to roadworks or general volume of traffic were mentioned by a number of respondents. However, some respondents did not perceive these restrictions to cause a delay.

- “Got through the roadworks OK, traffic was flowing.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Bit slow to start with as there were roadworks and speed restrictions.” (Leisure, motorways)
Roadworks

Levels of satisfaction with roadworks

In 2018-19 roadworks achieved an overall satisfaction score of 65 per cent. This is an increase from 63 per cent in the previous year, although roadworks remain the lowest of all the measures. The score for motorways increased for the first time in five years. On major ‘A’ roads the score decreased from 75 per cent to 72 per cent, however this is still higher than in 2014-15, 2015-16 or 2016-17.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfied (%)</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Motorway</th>
<th>Major ‘A’ road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Users passed through roadworks on 22 per cent of journeys on motorways; on major ‘A’ roads it was 11 per cent.

71 per cent of those who passed through roadworks had known about them before their journey, an increase from 65 per cent in the previous year. Nearly three-quarters (73 per cent) had driven through the roadworks on a previous occasion, while a further 10 per cent had found out through road signs.

Only three per cent of all road users planned their journey specifically to avoid roadworks. Of those passing through roadworks, the main features road users noticed were speed restrictions (79 per cent), narrowed lanes (52 per cent) and closed lanes (30 per cent).

### Experienced roadworks (%)

![Bar chart showing experienced roadworks (%) over years.](chart.png)

- **Motorways**:
  - 2014-15: 26
  - 2015-16: 23
  - 2016-17: 24
  - 2017-18: 21
  - 2018-19: 22

- **Major ‘A’ roads**:
  - 2014-15: 12
  - 2015-16: 13
  - 2016-17: 10
  - 2017-18: 9
  - 2018-19: 11
Users saw work being carried out on 36 per cent of journeys which passed through roadworks, down three percentage points on 2017-18. This is lower than the level of 44 per cent in 2014-15.

**Analysis of satisfaction with roadworks management**

Statistical analysis of how road users rate satisfaction with roadworks in comparison with how they answer other questions shows the factors with greatest influence on their satisfaction.

**Factors with greatest influence**

Factors that led to increased satisfaction with roadworks were seeing signs about the works; having checked travel conditions in advance; and having observed work in progress.

However the causes of dissatisfaction with roadworks were perceived lack of progress with the work; the impact of delay from frustration about the roadworks; and lack of information about the roadworks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Saw signage for roadworks</th>
<th>Checked travel conditions in advance</th>
<th>Observed work in progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In full-time employment</th>
<th>Delay more than 35% of journey time</th>
<th>Lack of information about roadworks</th>
<th>Impact of delay – frustration</th>
<th>Not progressing roadworks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% increase/decrease in satisfaction when variable increased by 10 percentage points
What users tell us about roadworks management

Users who were dissatisfied listed four main reasons:

**Being delayed**
For a large proportion of respondents, roadworks were mentioned as a reason for why they were delayed, mainly because of added journey time due to speed restrictions.

- “The 50mph restrictions at times are not necessary as this causes more hold ups.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “It took far too long to get past the roadworks.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “It caused such delays and left the motorway without lights.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “The roadworks are too long a stretch causing delays and frustration.” (Business, motorways)

Some road users appreciate it if they keep moving, albeit at a slower pace and therefore their levels of satisfaction while passing through roadworks were less affected.

- “All flowed and clearly marked.” (Commuting, ‘A’ road)

**Information provision**
A number of road users told us they had seen signs explaining the roadworks and felt more satisfied.

- “Signs and information were all OK, just wished they would get on with it.” (Leisure, Motorways)
- “Clear signs, little delays.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Enough information to get through safely.” (Business, motorways)
- “Signs up before you get there, it tells when it is going to happen and about bumps etc.” (Personal business, ‘A’ roads)

**Roadworks going on over a long period**
Many respondents complained that road workers are rarely seen and that little progress appears to be being made when passing through roadworks. In addition, some roadworks seem to take years to complete.

- “Should have people working on them at all times.” (Commuting, motorways)
- “Nothing worse than going past and seeing nobody working.” (Leisure, motorways)
- Very Dissatisfied - Too many roadworks alterations going on not being completed like this for years.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “They’ve been going on forever and I don’t know what they’re doing.” (Education, ‘A’ road)
- “They seem to put cones up but never do anything so no improvement.” (Business, ‘A’ road)

There was frustration with long stretches of roadworks and/or encountering several successive sets of roadworks on a journey.

- Very dissatisfied - Too many lots of roadworks, especially on the M6, no sooner are you out of one lot than another set starts.” (Leisure, motorways)
- Fairly dissatisfied - They have them spread out along the M62 instead of concentrating on one area.” (Leisure, motorways)
- Fairly dissatisfied - Nothing happened for weeks after it started and it should be done in sections, not the whole 11 mile stretch in one go.” (Commuting, motorways)
Less negative views of roadworks management
There was some positive feedback about the management of roadworks. Some road users accept that they just have to be done and there is no gain without pain.

- “Some roads need improvement and they inform you in advance which is good.” (Business, motorways)
- “There weren’t any huge delays and I know the work has to be carried out at some point.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “It’s always going to be a bit of an inconvenience but improvements have to be made.” (Personal business, motorways)
- “They have got to be done and they have tried to keep 2 lanes going rather than shutting one.” (Commuting, ‘A’ road)

Road users being aware of progress, either by being told about it or by seeing it, encourage higher levels of satisfaction.

- “All under control, seemed fine and safe.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “They were really good because there the traffic flow was still good even with the speed restrictions.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “Nearly finished the work just tidying the verges and had the inside lane closed to protect the workforce.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “Plenty of signs, they were working on the other side of crash barrier.” (Leisure, motorways)
Signage

Levels of satisfaction with signage

Satisfaction with signage overall was at 93 per cent in 2018-19, the joint highest score recorded. Satisfaction with signage on major ‘A’ roads remains marginally better than on motorways, and signage remains the highest scoring of the five key areas of user experience.

Yorkshire and the North East region scored the highest for signage on motorways, with the North West scoring the lowest. The North West region also scored the lowest for major ‘A’ roads, whilst users rated the East region best for signage.
Analysis of satisfaction with signage

Statistical analysis of how road users rate satisfaction with signage in comparison with how they answer other questions, shows the factors with greatest influence on their satisfaction.

Factors with greatest influence – motorways
Factors with a positive link to satisfaction include seeing an electronic variable message sign and undertaking a trip for leisure, work or business. Factors leading to dissatisfaction were poor signage and delays on motorways.

Factors with greatest influence – major ‘A’ roads
Factors with a positive link to satisfaction were good road surface and having a clear run with no incidents. Factors leading to dissatisfaction included poor signage.
What users tell us about signage

While road users were generally satisfied with signage, they became dissatisfied when information was given too late, or was unclear. The comments below illustrate some issues with signage on Highways England’s roads.

**Signs not clearly visible**
There were issues with maintenance and the ability to see some signs due to being dirty, obscured by vegetation, poorly lit, or vandalised. Therefore being unable to see signs or read information contributed to high levels of dissatisfaction.

😊 “Some are partially hidden by overhanging trees and bushes.” (Personal business, motorways)

😊 “The direction signs on the side of the roads because if you get lorries on the inside you can miss your turn off, need to give more warning or more overhead signs.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

😊 “There is a left turn off the A303 at Axminster - it is very badly signed and we missed the turn off.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

😊 “There is a road sign telling people to get into lane but you can’t see it because it is covered by a bush.” (Commuting, ‘A’ roads)

**Lack of sufficient information to make a journey safely**
Safety concerns were mentioned by respondents in relation to journeys where there had been confusion due to a lack of information. This also meant that there was a higher chance of getting lost or ending up going in the wrong direction which added to the stress of the journey.

😊 “Little confusing on the M6 for the signs for the centre of Birmingham.” (Leisure, motorways)

😊 “Diversion signs were poor, needed more signs and an explanation of how you were going to get where you needed to get to.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

😊 “Lack of visibility and the signs are too confusing to react to in time. They should impose a lower speed limit near the signs so people have time to react.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)

**Accuracy of information**
In order to reduce frustrations that road users might have, many would prefer to know the reason for delays and roadworks when they encounter them.

😊 “Lots of miles of signs saying 50mph but no reason for it.” (Leisure, motorways)

😊 “Sometimes you get speed restrictions when the road is all fine.” (Business, motorways)

😊 “Should have been more signs telling us why there were delays.” (Leisure, motorways)

Trust is a big issue for respondents when it comes to the information they are given. Some road users have a poor impression of the accuracy of messages or variable speed limits shown.

😊 “The VMS signs are hopeless, they are out of date and don’t give you proper information if you’re in a jam to turn off etc. They say queues ahead when there aren’t any too.” (Personal business, motorways)

😊 “When the variable speed signs come it is very confusing to know what speed you are supposed to be at.” (Personal business, motorways)

A small minority of road users felt there were locations with too many signs.

😊 “Can be areas where there are too many signs. Also the VMS are left on too long after the event.” (Commuting, motorways)

😊 “Too many, when you come into a village all you see is signs rather than the road.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

😊 “Sometimes there’s too much to take in, especially if you’re at a busy junction and you’re on your own.” (Leisure, motorways)
**Good signage**

There were many positive comments about signage. A number of respondents liked that signage was clear, easy to see and stood out to them when driving.

- “Clear, easy to see and in a good position for all wagon drivers.” (Business, motorways)
- “Big, clear, easy to see, stand out on the carriageway.” (Commuting, motorways)
- “Very clear and you know when to come off as it is very clearly signposted and marked.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Visible signs on the sides and above the roads are very helpful.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “Clear and easy to read, well positioned and well lit.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “Useful signs, the VMS were fairly clear.” (Leisure, motorways)

Getting information early increased satisfaction as this gave users sufficient warning to get in the correct lane or go in the right direction.

- “Visible from a distance, helpful in case of any wrong turns.” (Commuting, motorways)
- “They are there to help and give the driver information when needed.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “They are big, descriptive, and over the top of motorway, giving you plenty of warning.” (Leisure, motorways)

**Variable message signs**

Variable message signs (VMS) are electronic signs which many users, specifically those who had travelled on a motorway, focused on when asked about signage.

- “Give you the information that you need, especially the electronic ones.” (Personal business, motorways)
- “Usually they warn you well and give you the time to the next junction.” (Personal business, motorways)
- “Well displayed, visible roads signs and there should be more variable messages.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Whoever controls the VMS nowadays is controlling them a lot better now as they’re more accurate and more useful giving times to junctions.” (Leisure, motorways)

In 2018-19, 62 per cent of road users on motorway journeys said they saw a variable message sign. This is a decrease of one percentage point from 2017-18. Of those who saw one, three fifths recalled seeing a message on it, slightly less to last year at 65 per cent.

Similar to previous years, one of the key issues for users is having trust in the information on these signs. There is a strong link between perceived accuracy of variable message signs and the rating of satisfaction with signage. Many users complained that they were not updated quickly enough, and that outdated messages/warnings remained in place (hours) after the incident had passed.

- “Sometimes VMS is out of date or left on too long.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “When the variable speed signs come it is very confusing to know what speed you are supposed to be at.” (Leisure, motorways)

Three quarters (75 per cent) of respondents said that the messages displayed were either completely accurate or mostly accurate. However, just over a fifth of motorway users thought they were inaccurate as often as they were accurate.

- “Alert signs are left on way after the problem is solved so people end up ignoring them.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “The electronic sign said there was a delay, there wasn’t.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “They create and cause the problems, not accurate enough, kept up too long.” (Leisure, motorways)
# Upkeep

## Levels of satisfaction with upkeep of motorways and major ‘A’ roads

Satisfaction with the general upkeep of motorways and major ‘A’ roads was 87 per cent, down from 89 per cent in 2017-18 and the lowest recorded score so far.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motorway</strong></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major ‘A’ road</strong></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yorkshire and the North East region had the highest satisfaction score for upkeep on motorways, whilst the North West M25 regions had the lowest. Yorkshire and the North East region also scored highest for major ‘A’ roads whilst the South East region scored lowest.

### Motorways (satisfied %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yorks &amp; N East</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlands</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M25</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Major ‘A’ roads (satisfied %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yorks &amp; N East</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlands</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M25</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of satisfaction with upkeep

Statistical analysis of how road users rate satisfaction with upkeep in comparison with how they answer other questions shows the factors with greatest influence on their satisfaction.

Factors with greatest influence – motorways
Factors with a positive link to satisfaction with upkeep were good weather and a good surface. Negative factors included whether they saw litter on motorways, poor signage and bad road surface.

| Good weather | 4.7 |
| Good surface | 0.7 |
| Clear run, no incidents | 0.6 |
| Saw variable message signs on motorway | 0.4 |
| Saw litter on motorway | -0.4 |
| Poor signage / diversions not clear / lack of signage | -0.4 |
| Bad surface | -0.6 |

Factors with greatest influence – major ‘A’ roads
The factor with the most positive link to satisfaction was a good road surface. Negative factors include poor road surface; experiencing an accident or diversion; and being delayed by roadworks.

| Good surface | 2.3 |
| Female | 2.2 |
| Timing of trip | 2.1 |
| Clear run, no incidents | 2.1 |
| See traffic officer | 2.0 |
| Saw litter on trunk roads | -1.3 |
| Negative comment re HGVs | -1.8 |
| Poor lighting | -2.3 |
| Delayed by congestion on trunk road | -2.3 |
| Delayed by roadworks on trunk road | -2.5 |
| Accident or diversion | -2.8 |
| Bad surface | -4.6 |
Users’ comments on their satisfaction and dissatisfaction

Dissatisfied road users principally mention the following in relation to upkeep:

- poor road surface
- poor lighting
- debris/overgrowth
- worn lines/road markings.

A poor road surface, specifically potholes, were mentioned by a number of respondents in addition to the noisy surfaces which all contributed to low levels of satisfaction.

Potholes

“Potholes, rough surfaces, poor lighting, litter, tyres, a few dead animals like foxes.” (Commuting, motorways)

“The M54 is terrible for potholes.” (Leisure, motorways)

“These potholes are dangerous and need repairing.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)

Noise

“Some horrible bumpy and noisy road surfaces.” (Leisure, motorways)

“Potholes and sound changes on rough parts of the road that make you worry about your tyres.” (Leisure, motorways)

“It has a poor surface that’s noisy and bumpy, a lot of potholes and debris on it.” (Commuting, ‘A’ roads)

Rough and rutted surfaces

“Too many stones on the road and just general poor road maintenance.” (Leisure, motorways)

“M25 poor road surface in places.” (Business, motorways)

“So many potholes and the surface between the inside lane and the hard shoulder is just not fit for purpose. Too many HGV lorries have driven along it and made ruts between the lanes. Hard shoulder is not wide enough for wagons.” (Leisure, motorways)

“Terrible potholes, no lights on as well, general poor maintenance and too many poor foreign drivers on the A2 etc, especially lorries in overtaking lanes.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)

Poor lighting

Some road users mention that poor lighting increases their opinion that the surface is poor and can also raise safety concerns.

“Poor lighting. Not indicating junctions, road not to a high standard. They do nothing to make road safe.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)

“Not well lit up and the surface is not very good.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)

Debris and overgrowth

Debris was highlighted, with respondents referring to untidy areas at the roadside contributing to lower levels of satisfaction with upkeep.

“Lot of rubbish on the road, potholes, hedges need cutting back, they let grass grow too long and it attracts litter to settle in it.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)

“Vegetation at the side of the road needs cutting back more as sometimes you can’t read the signs.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)

Worn lines and road markings

There were sections on the network where road users were dissatisfied with the quality of the road markings.

“Some potholes and lane markings at junctions worn baldly.” (Leisure, motorways)

“Worn surfaces in places, the edging of lanes develops potholes and grooves.” (Business, motorways)

“White lines need re-doing, too much litter and some potholes too.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)

“Rubbish. Lot of potholes, a lot of paint that’s gone from the road markings.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)

A good surface is thought to be a bonus, and is a source of high satisfaction.

“Better than average, smooth road and my impression was there was not much rubbish but it was dark.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

“Since it has all been resurfaced, it is brilliant.” (Business, ‘A’ roads)

“Done loads of work recently, it’s well tarmacked.” (Leisure, motorways)
Those satisfied with upkeep
Most positive comments were about the surface quality rather than other infrastructure or maintenance aspects, but some also mentioned good lighting, signage, and less litter and debris.

- “Everything is smooth and there are no potholes.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)
- “Roads in good condition, don’t see many potholes on the A27.” (Leisure, ‘A’ roads)
- “Good surface and nothing I felt that would damage my car.” (Commuting, ‘A’ roads)
- “Well maintained motorway, roadsides, lights and lane separation marks.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “It was a good. Smooth surface compared to the local roads.” (Leisure, motorways)

The proportion of road users seeing litter on their most recent motorway journey increased from 13 per cent in 2017-18 to 16 per cent in 2018-19. For major ‘A’ road users there was an increase to 24 per cent seeing litter.

When asked how seeing litter made them feel, users mainly said it caused them to be angry or irritated. However, only a minority thought it impacted on safety.
## Safety

### Feeling safe

91 per cent of road users felt very or fairly safe when making journeys on motorways and major ‘A’ roads in 2018-19. The proportion has remained fairly steady for the previous four years. Both motorways and major ‘A’ roads scored 91 per cent, with motorways closing the gap that was present in 2017-18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motorway</strong></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major ‘A’ road</strong></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motorways in Yorkshire and the North East region users felt most safe, while they felt least safe in the M25 region. On major ‘A’ roads, users felt most safe in the East region and least safe in the South West.

### Motorways (feeling safe %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yorks &amp; N East</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlands</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M25</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Major ‘A’ roads (feeling safe %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yorks &amp; N East</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlands</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M25</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of users’ feelings of safety

Statistical analysis of how road users rate safety in comparison with how they answer other questions shows the factors with greatest influence on how safe they feel.

Factors with greatest influence – motorways
Going slowly and being a confident motorway user, along with journey speed are the most significant factors positively influencing ‘feeling safe’. Negative experiences with intimidating driving by others and narrow lanes are the greatest negative factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>% increase/decrease in satisfaction when variable increased by 10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Just slow</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confident motorway user</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged under 25</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw traffic officer</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay reason – volume of traffic/congestion</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factors with greatest influence – major ‘A’ roads
Being a confident ‘A’ road user and having checked travel conditions using a mobile phone are the most significant positive factors influencing ‘feeling safe’. Experiencing an accident or diversion and comments about lorries are the two greatest negative factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>% increase/decrease in satisfaction when variable increased by 10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confident trunk road user</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check travel conditions – mobile phone</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear run, no incidents</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of trip</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>% increase/decrease in satisfaction when variable increased by 10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delayed on trunk road</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative comment re HGVs</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident or diversion</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What users tell us about feeling safe

The comments show that road users consider safety in a number of ways, often overlapping between cause and effect. Their comments can be grouped into four main themes: other drivers’ behaviour, their own confidence when driving, the road infrastructure itself, and the road conditions they encounter on their journey.

Other drivers’ behaviour
The chart below shows that in 2018-19, on 29 per cent of motorway journeys and 20 per cent of major ‘A’ road journeys, users experienced poor driving by others. The proportion seeing poor driving on motorways is the lowest in the last five years.

As in 2017-18, road users said the most frequent poor driving behaviours experienced were speeding and other drivers cutting them up.

The most common emotion created by poor driving behaviour is anger/frustration/annoyance at 59 per cent, followed by concern/worried/unsafe at 46 per cent. Three per cent of people felt a strong emotion of being frightened, while seven per cent said poor driving did not bother them.
Users’ comments illustrate how other drivers’ behaviour affects their feelings of safety.

First in general

- “No one’s paying attention, they are more worried about getting a speeding ticket than crashing into someone.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “People swap lanes and there’s nowhere to pull over if you break down and they travel too fast and swap lanes without indicating.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Speeders and drivers not indicating, driving too close, the standard of driving is generally poor.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Other people going too fast and swapping lanes, we rely on people’s fast reactions too much, makes me feel vulnerable as they drive so fast and there’s nowhere to stop if there are accidents.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “People drive too fast and recklessly, even when it’s raining.” (Business, ‘A’ road)
- “Drivers tailgate and drive too fast.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

Then about more specific situations

- “I never feel safe on the M25 through people driving poorly and erratic.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “On the M25 yes and on the M4 no due to the roundabout at Junction 4 where there’s so many lanes, it’s confusing if you don’t know it and people cut across lanes to get to where they need to go.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Very short slip roads on and off the A30.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “I never feel safe on the M25 through people driving poorly and erratic.” (Leisure, motorways)

The following comments show that measures to manage speed and monitor behaviour contribute to feelings of safety:

- “There’s the smart motorway now and hardly any traffic so no cars around and a smooth journey.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Smart motorways are good, safe.” (Business, motorways)
- “It’s a great motorway since the work was completed on this stretch.” (Personal business, motorways)
- “More average speed cameras on the A roads so people don’t drive as fast.” (Personal business, ‘A’ road)
- “It is safer than the motorway as there are more cameras and a reduced speed limit.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

The driver’s confidence and knowledge of the road

The confidence of a driver (or how confident the passenger felt about the driver) gave a higher level of safety satisfaction. Other ways feelings of safety increased were how often they drive or how long they have been driving.

- “I’ve been driving that road for 15 years and have seen very few accidents.” (Commuting, ‘A’ road)
- “In a coach, makes me feel safe as it’s bigger.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “Very little traffic and I’ve got a safe car.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “I’m used to driving, just take your time and keep to speed limits.” (Business, motorways)
- “I’m a safe driver that keeps my distance but others are not safe.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “I know how to drive properly on a motorway, don’t lane hog.” (Business, motorways)

Users’ comments illustrate how accidents and dangerous driving by others affect their feelings of safety.

- “Due to accidents on there I tense up when I join it, think it’s down to careless drivers because the road is good and straight.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Have had some bad experiences so in the back of my mind there is always concern as I have known of fatalities if there is an incident, need to be better informed.” (Personal business, motorways)
- “Too many accidents on the A13.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “Somebody doing around 90 mph cut right in front of me on the M48 near the diving centre.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Just this day as lanes were very narrow and changing over a long stretch with a speed restriction so you couldn’t really get away from larger wagons that wouldn’t let you pull over.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Somebody almost missed the turnoff and cut right in front of the ongoing traffic from the fast lane to the slip road.” (Leisure, motorways)
**Infrastructure**

Good infrastructure and road conditions contributed positively to feeling safe. Roads being smooth, well-lit, free of debris, with vegetation being under control and having clear, accurate signage were mentioned by users.

- “The road is being looked after and there are new cat’s eyes so the road is good.” (Business, ‘A’ road)
- “It’s got cat’s eyes and fluorescent markings so fine.” (Commuting, ‘A’ road)
- “They have put an extra lane in, it has 4 lanes and they have a filter lane joining the M1 which is so much safer.” (Leisure, motorways)

Sometimes road users feel safe, but the road conditions or infrastructure still impacts on their feelings of safety.

**Driving conditions**

Congestion contributed to feeling unsafe as vehicles travel closer together than they might otherwise and delays cause frustration, leading to poor driving.

- “Other people’s terrible driving, driving far too close.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Short slip road off the M6 for Crewe and a downward bend causes accidents with people queuing and people going too fast into the back of them.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Speeders and drivers not indicating, driving too close, the standard of driving is generally poor.” (Leisure, motorways)

Poor weather conditions on specific journeys was a point noted by some and can affect the level of satisfaction.

- “People drive too fast and recklessly, even when it’s raining.” (Business, ‘A’ road)
- “The rain was really heavy at times, a lot of water on the roads.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “It was pouring with rain and heavy traffic.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “Weather, it was raining and there was a lot of surface water and it was windy.” (Leisure, motorways)

**Road layouts**

- “Clear, good road signs but the road surfaces could be better as the surfaces change.” (Commuting, motorways)
- “Experienced, as a motorway driver it’s a concern if I breakdown there’s no hard shoulder.” (Leisure, motorways)

**Road markings and lighting**

- “More lighting would be good, weather good and clear.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “The slip road junction 7 off the M54 when coming off the slip road it’s very dark and needs some form of lighting, there’s a camber on the road and it’s long to the junction and could do with cat’s eyes.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

**Quality of the road surface**

- “Road surfaces, some parts are single lane and bendy and narrow and some are four lanes.” (Commuting, ‘A’ road)
- “Very poor surfaces in places. Made driving dangerous with people avoiding potholes.” (Leisure, motorways)

**Narrow lanes**

- “Where the width is narrow you do not have enough space and especially when there is a lorry you don’t have room to get past.” (Business, motorways)
- “Bad weather and a narrow lane with the concrete barrier and nowhere to go.” (Commuting, ‘A’ road)

---
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Presence of lorries
Lorries on the roads in conjunction with narrow lanes was often mentioned as a cause of feeling unsafe. Respondents mentioned the driver behaviour or the size of the vehicle as additional reasons as to why the presence of lorries caused them to feel unsafe.

- “I hate lorries, they hog the lanes they shouldn’t be in and don’t signal properly.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “A lorry pulled out right in front of me, causing me to slam the brakes on.” (Commuting, motorways)
- “The lorries can drive a bit close and be a bit swervy.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)
- “I have had a lorry push me into the barrier so it makes me nervous.” (Leisure, ‘A’ road)

Smart motorways
There were some positive comments by road users about smart motorways. However, a few raised concerns about the absence of a hard shoulder and some respondents identified this as a reason why they felt unsafe. Others raised it more only as a general point than one causing them not to feel safe on a specific journey.

- “Smart motorways having no hard shoulders, there is nothing smart about taking away the hard shoulder.” (Personal business, motorways)
- “Experienced, as a motorway driver it’s a concern if I breakdown there’s no hard shoulder.” (Leisure, motorways)
- “No traffic, at this time I am not sure about smart motorways as I see people stop in the hard shoulder.” (Business, motorways)
- “Smart motorways are good, safe.” (Business, motorways)
Appendix: survey method

Introduction

The National Road Users’ Satisfaction Survey (NRUSS) is the official measure of customer satisfaction among users of the Highways England road network. The survey in its current form has been running since April 2011. In April 2015 responsibility for running the motorways and major ‘A’ roads changed. A related change was that customer satisfaction is now measured independently by Transport Focus, starting in April 2016. Transport Focus decided, given the importance of trend data and that it was a formal Highways England target, to continue with NRUSS unchanged in the short-term. Transport Focus appointed AECOM to conduct the survey on its behalf. It has carried out the survey for the Highways Agency/Highways England since April 2011.

The main aspects of the methodology are detailed here and further information can be obtained by contacting Transport Focus.

Conducting the survey

Each year around 2000 users of the roads managed by Highways England are interviewed face-to-face in their homes. To take part, respondents must be aged 17 or over and have used a Highways England-managed road within the previous 12 months. The survey aims to achieve interviews evenly across each of Highways England’s seven regions: Yorkshire and North East, North West, Midlands, M25, East, South East, and South West.

Each month, six sample areas are chosen within each region making 42 sample areas per month. Sample areas are selected at random from all possible postcodes within that region. The selected postcodes provide the start location from which interviewers will approach homes to request an interview. Within each sample area an interviewer has to secure interviews that meet the following criteria:

- gender: two men and two women
- driver/passenger: minimum three drivers
- age: minimum one person from each of the 17 to 34, 35 to 64, and 65+ age groups
- employment: minimum two employed

These criteria were set based on usage of Highways England’s roads.

The questionnaire asks about their most recent journey on Highways England’s roads. Where that journey was a return journey, only the outward or return leg is asked about (chosen at random). The questions cover general trip experience and satisfaction with five key performance areas: journey time, safety, roadworks management, information/signage, and general upkeep. The results are not weighted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Sample size 2018-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motorways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall satisfaction*</td>
<td>1305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with journey times, upkeep, and safety at regional level**</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with roadworks management (all regions’ results are combined – as only a proportion of users experience roadworks)</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These numbers added together exceed 2000 because some journeys involved use of a motorway and a major ‘A’ road.
** The approximate number of responses across each of the seven regions and across the four key performance areas. Two regions with notably fewer responses are the North West and M25 for major ‘A’ roads with around 60 and 140 respectively (both areas being predominantly motorways). Exact sample size numbers for each region/question can be supplied on request.

Sample sizes

With 42 sample areas each month and four completed interviews per sample area, there are around 2000 interviews achieved each year. Some users will have used only motorways, some only major ‘A’ roads, some both. The exact sample size for any result quoted is available from Transport Focus. However the table below gives approximate sample sizes for the results shown in this report. The number of interviews carried out in 2018-19 was not significantly different from previous years.

Overall satisfaction calculation

The overall satisfaction score is derived from the scores achieved for the five key performance areas. It is not generated from a direct question. The basis of the calculation is below:

\[
\text{Overall satisfaction score} = \frac{\sum (Rm \times Nm) + \sum (Rt \times Nt)}{\sum (Nm) + \sum (Nt)}
\]
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• Where $Rm$ is the satisfaction score for that key performance area for motorways
• Where $Rt$ is the satisfaction score for that key performance area for major ‘A’ roads
• Where $Nm$ is the total number of responses for that key performance area for motorways
• Where $Nt$ is the total number of responses for that key performance area for major ‘A’ roads
• Each $\sum$ sign means adding the five results (one for each key performance area) that come from calculating the mathematical expression within the bracket that follows that $\sum$ sign.

In 2018-19 survey year the numbers used in the calculation were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>% Satisfaction</th>
<th>No. of responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R (journey time motorways)</td>
<td>84.97</td>
<td>1304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (roadworks motorways)</td>
<td>62.27</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (signage motorways)</td>
<td>91.84</td>
<td>1188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (upkeep motorways)</td>
<td>89.60</td>
<td>1269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (safety motorways)</td>
<td>90.61</td>
<td>1299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (journey time A roads)</td>
<td>90.22</td>
<td>1268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (roadworks A roads)</td>
<td>71.88</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (signage A roads)</td>
<td>93.28</td>
<td>1056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (upkeep A roads)</td>
<td>84.37</td>
<td>1235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (safety A roads)</td>
<td>90.66</td>
<td>1263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The calculation for 2018-19 using these figures was:

Overall satisfaction (%) = \[
\frac{(84.97 \times 1304) + (62.27 \times 273) + (91.84 \times 1188) + (89.60 \times 1269) + (90.61 \times 1299)}{(1304 + 273 + 1188 + 1269 + 1299 + 1268 + 128 + 1235 + 1263)} \]

Overall satisfaction was therefore 88.4 per cent.

Factors of influence charts

NRUSS asks direct satisfaction questions for each of the five key performance areas for both motorways and major ‘A’ roads – 10 measures in all. For each of these, statistical analysis has been conducted to look at how all the other questions in the survey have been answered, to identify those with greatest influence on satisfaction in the key performance area concerned. The analysis includes answers from the questions asked directly and from ‘coding’ what users said were the reasons for their views.

The statistical process used was ‘logistic regression modelling’ which treats the dependent variable (the key performance area rating) as either zero = not satisfied or one = satisfied and similarly the independent variables (users’ answers to the other questions). The modelling iteratively determines the smallest set of variables that can explain largest movement in satisfaction.

The output shows, of the explainable variance in satisfaction, how much movement in satisfaction might occur from a 10 percentage point change in users’ answer to a particular question. The factors with most impact are shown. Please note the impact of some factors overlaps, so the total amount of influence on satisfaction is not the sum of the individual influences. You can contact Transport Focus for any further information required.
Transport Focus is the independent consumer organisation representing the interests of:

- all users of England’s motorways and major ‘A’ roads (the Strategic Road Network)
- rail passengers in Great Britain
- bus, coach and tram users across England outside London.

We work to make a difference for all transport users